

Monroe County Race Data - Comparison of 2000 and 2010 Census Data

Census 2010 Name	Population		White Alone		Black or African American Alone		American Indian or Alaskan Native Alone		Asian Alone		Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Alone		Some other race alone		Two or more races		Percent White Alone		Percent Other Races	
	Census 2000	Census 2010	Census 2000	Census 2010	Census 2000	Census 2010	Census 2000	Census 2010	Census 2000	Census 2010	Census 2000	Census 2010	Census 2000	Census 2010	Census 2000	Census 2010	Census 2000	Census 2010	Census 2000	Census 2010
Monroe	40,896	44,673	39,471	41,940	188	512	376	510	195	289	15	40	347	764	304	618	96.5%	93.9%	3.5%	6.1%
T Adrian	682	762	678	745	1	4	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	2	10	99.4%	97.8%	0.6%	2.2%
T Angelo	1,268	1,296	1,224	1,254	3	11	1	1	2	8	0	1	20	7	18	14	96.5%	96.8%	3.5%	3.2%
T Byron	1,394	1,342	1,261	1,173	2	5	103	135	5	7	0	0	6	1	17	21	90.5%	87.4%	9.5%	12.6%
T Clifton	693	690	689	670	0	6	0	0	0	12	0	0	3	0	1	2	99.4%	97.1%	0.6%	2.9%
T Glendale	563	667	559	652	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	13	99.3%	97.8%	0.7%	2.2%
T Grant	483	495	482	486	0	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	99.8%	98.2%	0.2%	1.8%
T Greenfield	626	707	613	669	3	1	7	15	1	7	0	0	1	1	1	14	97.9%	94.6%	2.1%	5.4%
T Jefferson	800	819	773	805	0	5	0	1	22	0	0	0	1	0	4	8	96.6%	98.3%	3.4%	1.7%
T Lafayette	318	396	305	367	6	21	1	0	1	1	1	1	4	2	0	4	95.9%	92.7%	4.1%	7.3%
T La Grange	1,761	2,007	1,706	1,887	1	23	41	62	2	8	0	0	5	2	6	25	96.9%	94.0%	3.1%	6.0%
T Leon	858	1,086	848	1,057	0	5	3	4	2	3	0	3	0	2	5	12	98.8%	97.3%	1.2%	2.7%
T Lincoln	827	835	812	821	2	1	6	3	1	3	0	0	5	2	1	5	98.2%	98.3%	1.8%	1.7%
T Little Falls	1,334	1,523	1,308	1,477	1	2	3	14	4	14	1	1	4	3	13	12	98.1%	97.0%	1.9%	3.0%
T New Lyme	141	168	141	166	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	100.0%	98.8%	0.0%	1.2%
T Oakdale	679	772	649	746	2	3	15	1	4	18	0	0	2	1	7	3	95.6%	96.6%	4.4%	3.4%
T Portland	686	808	670	773	1	0	0	1	13	1	0	0	0	24	2	9	97.7%	95.7%	2.3%	4.3%
T Ridgeville	491	501	478	480	0	0	2	3	1	3	0	0	10	10	0	5	97.4%	95.8%	2.6%	4.2%
T Scott	117	135	110	122	4	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	5	94.0%	90.4%	6.0%	9.6%
T Sheldon	682	727	673	709	2	1	0	0	0	9	0	0	2	2	5	6	98.7%	97.5%	1.3%	2.5%
T Sparta	2,753	3,128	2,720	3,046	1	13	5	12	12	19	1	0	2	10	12	28	98.8%	97.4%	1.2%	2.6%
T Tomah	1,194	1,400	1,164	1,363	11	2	3	14	8	3	0	0	0	4	8	14	97.5%	97.4%	2.5%	2.6%
T Wellington	544	621	537	607	0	2	1	5	0	0	0	0	3	5	3	2	98.7%	97.7%	1.3%	2.3%
T Wells	529	519	526	507	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	7	2	4	99.4%	97.7%	0.6%	2.3%
T Wilton	925	1,027	919	1,006	0	2	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	11	1	6	99.4%	98.0%	0.6%	2.0%
V Cashton	1,005	1,102	995	1,052	1	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	32	5	8	99.0%	95.5%	1.0%	4.5%
V Kendall	482	472	481	461	0	0	0	6	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	99.8%	97.7%	0.2%	2.3%
V Melvina	93	104	91	100	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	97.8%	96.2%	2.2%	3.8%
V Norwalk	653	638	476	447	0	0	0	1	0	7	0	0	167	174	10	9	72.9%	70.1%	27.1%	29.9%
V Oakdale	297	297	293	292	0	2	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	98.7%	98.3%	1.3%	1.7%
V Warrens	286	363	277	343	0	4	4	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	9	96.9%	94.5%	3.1%	5.5%
V Wilton	519	504	496	473	0	2	0	0	0	5	0	0	16	18	7	6	95.6%	93.8%	4.4%	6.2%
V Wyeville	146	147	137	141	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	3	93.8%	95.9%	6.2%	4.1%
C Sparta	8,648	9,522	8,386	8,781	60	144	29	61	54	44	4	8	49	332	66	152	97.0%	92.2%	3.0%	7.8%
C Tomah	8,419	9,093	7,994	8,262	87	238	139	158	56	110	7	25	39	102	97	198	95.0%	90.9%	5.0%	9.1%
State of Wis.	5,363,715	5,686,986	4,769,897	4,902,067	304,460	359,148	47,228	54,526	88,763	129,234	1,630	1,827	84,842	135,867	66,895	104,317	88.9%	86.2%	11.1%	13.8%
United States	281,421,906	308,745,538	211,460,626	223,553,265	34,658,190	38,929,319	2,475,956	2,932,248	10,242,998	14,674,252	398,835	540,013	15,359,073	19,107,368	6,826,228	9,009,073	75.1%	72.4%	24.9%	27.6%

U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 and 2010

Mississippi River Regional Planning Commission
Community Profiles - May 2011

