

Appendix C

Summary of Meeting Evaluation Forms

Human Service Transportation Coordination Meeting Evaluation – July 31, 2013

General Meeting Question	Agree	Disagree	Don't Know
1. The information covered in the group discussions, examples and explanation was understandable.	94.7	5.3	0.0
2. The meeting provided a good forum for communication about public/human services transportation coordination.	94.7	5.3	0.0
3. Participants at the meeting were from a broad stakeholder group.	94.7	5.3	0.0
4. The county/region's prioritized action plan is comprehensive and realistic.	100.0	0.0	0.0
5. The county/region has a working coordination team.	94.7	5.3	0.0
6. The 2006 Coordination Plan has been implemented.	77.8	11.1	11.1
7. Developing the prioritized action plan was meaningful and valuable.	94.7	5.3	0.0
8. I feel the coordination process in the county/region will be improved based on the assessment, action plan and implementation strategies.	100.0	0.0	0.0
Facilitator Questions			
9. Facilitator was knowledgeable about the meeting process.	94.7	5.3	0.0
10. The information was presented in a clear, logical format.	94.7	5.3	0.0
	Too Much	About Right	Not Enough
10a. The time allotted for the meeting was:	0.0	94.7	5.3

Meeting participants were satisfied with the Regional Human Services Transportation Coordination Plan meeting as over 94 percent of respondents agreed that the meeting provided a good forum for communication, the information covered and explained was understandable, and the action plan was comprehensive and realistic. Meeting participants also felt the time allotted for the meeting was about right (94.7%).